International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos, Vol. 17, No. 7 (2007) 2485–2490

© World Scientific Publishing Company

INTERNET DATA PACKET TRANSPORT: FROM GLOBAL TOPOLOGY TO LOCAL QUEUEING DYNAMICS

H. K. LEE

School of Physics, Korea Institute for Advanced Study, Seoul 130-722, Korea

K.-I. GOH *Center for Complex Network Research and Department of Physics, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN 46556, USA Department of Physics, Korea University, Seoul 136-713, Korea

> B. KAHNG^{*,†} and D. KIM School of Physics and Center for Theoretical Physics, Seoul National University NS50, Seoul 151-747, Korea

> > [†]Center for Nonlinear Studies, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA

Received April 27, 2006; Revised September 12, 2006

We study structural feature and evolution of the Internet at the autonomous systems level. Extracting relevant parameters for the growth dynamics of the Internet topology, we construct a toy model for the Internet evolution, which includes the ingredients of multiplicative stochastic evolution of nodes and edges and adaptive rewiring of edges. The model reproduces successfully structural features of the Internet at a fundamental level. We also introduce a quantity called the load as the capacity of node needed for handling the communication traffic and study its time-dependent behavior at the hubs across years. The load at hub increases with network size N as $\sim N^{1.8}$. Finally, we study data packet traffic in the microscopic scale. The average delay time of data packets in a queueing system is calculated, in particular, when the number of arrival channels is scale-free. We show that when the number of arriving data packets follows a power law distribution, $\sim n^{-\lambda}$, the queue length distribution decays as $n^{1-\lambda}$ and the average delay time at the hub diverges as $\sim N^{(3-\lambda)/(\gamma-1)}$ in the $N \to \infty$ limit when $2 < \lambda < 3\gamma$ being the network degree exponent.

Keywords: Scale-free network; Internet traffic; queueing dynamics.

In recent years, the Internet has become one of the most influential media in our daily life, going beyond its role as the basic infrastructure in this technological world. Explosive growth in the number of users and hence the amount of traffic poses a number of problems which are not only important in practice for, e.g. maintaining it free from any undesired congestion and malfunctioning, but also of theoretical interests as an interdisciplinary topic [Pastor-Satorras & Vespignani, 2004]. Such interests, also stimulated by other disciplines like biology, sociology and statistical physics, have blossomed into a broader framework of network science [Albert & Barabási, 2002; Dorogovtsev & Mendes, 2003; Newman, 2003; Boccaletti *et al.*, 2006]. In this Letter, we first review briefly previous studies of Internet topology and the data packet transport on global scale, and next study the delivery process in queueing system of each node embedded in the Internet.

The Internet is a primary example of complex networks. It consists of a large number of very heterogeneous units interconnected with various connection bandwidths, however, it is neither regular nor completely random. In their landmark paper, Faloutsos *et al.* [1999] showed that the Internet at the autonomous systems (ASes) level is a scale-free (SF) network [Barabási *et al.*, 1999], meaning that degree k, the number of connections a node has, follows a power-law distribution,

$$P_d(k) \sim k^{-\gamma}.$$
 (1)

The degree exponent γ is subsequently measured and confirmed in a number of studies as $\gamma \approx 2.1(1)$. The power-law degree distribution implies the presence of a few nodes having a large number of connections, called hubs, while most other nodes have a few number of connections.

It is known that the degrees of the two nodes located at each end of a link are correlated to each other. As the first step, the degreedegree correlation can be quantified in terms of the mean degree of the neighbors of a given node with degree k as a function of k, denoted by $\langle k_{nn} \rangle (k)$ [Pastor-Satorras *et al.*, 2001], which behaves in another power law as

$$\langle k_{\rm nn} \rangle(k) \sim k^{-\nu}.$$
 (2)

For the Internet, it decays with $\nu \approx 0.5$ measured from the real-world Internet data [Meyer, 1997; NLANR project, 1998].

The Internet has modules within it. Such modular structures arise due to regional control systems, and often form in a hierarchical way [Eriksen *et al.*, 2003]. Recently, it was argued that such modular and hierarchical structures can be described in terms of the clustering coefficient. Let C_i be the local clustering coefficient of a node *i*, defined as $C_i = 2e_i/k_i(k_i - 1)$, where e_i is the number of links present among the neighbors of node *i*, out of its maximum possible number $k_i(k_i-1)/2$. The clustering coefficient of a network, *C*, is the average of C_i over all nodes. C(k) means the clustering function of a node with degree *k*, i.e. C_i averaged over nodes with degree *k*. When a network is modular and hierarchical, the clustering function follows a power law, $C(k) \sim k^{-\beta}$ for large *k*, and *C* is independent of system size *N* [Ravasz *et al.*, 2002; Ravasz & Barabási, 2003]. For the Internet, it was measured that the clustering coefficient is $C_{\rm AS} \approx 0.25$ and the exponent $\beta \approx 0.75$ [Vázquez *et al.*, 2002].

There are many known models to mimic the Internet topology. Here, we introduce our stochastic model evolving through the following four rules. This model is based on the model proposed by Huberman and Adamic [1999], which is a generic model to reproduce an uncorrelated SF network and we modify it by adding the adaptation rule [Goh et al., 2002], which results in generating the degree-degree correlations. The rules are as follows: (i) Geometrical growth: At time step t, geometrically increased number of new nodes, $\alpha N(t-1)$, are introduced in the system with the empirical value of $\alpha = 0.029$. Then following the empirical fact $\langle k_{\rm new} \rangle_t \approx 1.34$, each of newly added nodes connects to one or two existing nodes according to the preferential attachment (PA) rule [Barabási & Albert, 1999]. (ii) Accelerated growth: Each existing node increases its degree by the empirical value factor of ≈ 0.035 . These new internal links are also connected following the PA rule. (iii) Fluctuations: Each node disconnects existing links randomly or connects new links following the PA rule with equal probability. The variance of this noise is given as $\sigma^2 \approx (0.14)^2$ measured from empirical data. (iv) Adaptation: When connecting in step (iii), the PA rule is applied only within the subset of the existing nodes consisting of those having larger degree than the one previously disconnected. This last constraint accounts for the adaptation process. The adaptive rewiring rule is depicted in Fig. 1.

Through this adaptation model, we can reproduce generic features of the Internet topologies successfully which are as follows: First, the degree exponent is measured to be $\gamma_{\text{model}} \approx 2.2$, close to the empirical result $\gamma_{\text{AS}} \approx 2.1(1)$. Second, the clustering coefficient is measured to be $C_{\text{model}} \approx$ 0.15(7), comparable to the empirical value $C_{\text{AS}} \approx$ 0.25. Note that without the adaptation rule, we only get $C \approx 0.01(1)$. The clustering function C(k) also



Fig. 1. Shown is the adaptive rewiring rule. A node (white) detaches one of its links from a node (green or gray) in (a), and attaches it to one of the nodes (green or gray) with degree 3, larger than 2 of the detached node, in (b).

behaves similarly to that of the real-world Internet, specifically, decaying in a power law with $\beta \approx 1.1(3)$ roughly for large k [Goh et al., 2004], but the overall curve shifts upward and the constant behavior for small k appears. Third, the mean degree function $\langle k_{nn} \rangle(k)$ also behaves similarly to that of the real-world Internet network, but it also shifts upward overall. In short, the behaviors of C(k) and $\langle k_{nn} \rangle (k)$ of the adaptation model are close to those of the real Internet AS map, but with some discrepancies described above. On the other hand, recently another toy model [Serrano et al., 2005] has been introduced to represent the evolution of the Internet topology. The model is similar to our model in the perspective of including the multiplicative stochastic evolution of nodes and edges as well as adaptive rewiring of edges. However, the rewiring dynamics is carried out with the incorporation of user population instead of degree of node that we used here.

Next, we study the transport of data packet on the Internet. Data packets are sent and received over the net constantly, causing momentary local congestion from time to time. To avoid such undesired congestion, the capacity, or the bandwidth, of the routers should be as large as it can handle the traffic. First we introduce a rough measure of such capacity, called the load and denoted as ℓ [Goh *et al.*, 2001]. One assumes that every node sends a unit packet to everyone else in unit time and the packets are transferred from the source to the target only along the shortest paths between them, and divided evenly upon encountering any branching point. To be precise, let $\ell_i^{s \to t}$ be the amount of packet sent from s (source) to t (target) that passes through the node i (see Fig. 2). Then the load of a node i, ℓ_i , is the accumulated sum of $\ell_i^{s \to t}$ for all s and t, $\ell_i = \sum_{s \neq t} \ell_i^{s \to t}$. In other words, the load



Fig. 2. The load at each node due to a unit packet transfer from the node s to the node t, $\ell_i^{s \to t}$. In this diagram, only the nodes along the shortest paths between (s, t) are shown. The quantity in parentheses is the corresponding value of the load due to the packet from t to s, $\ell_i^{t \to s}$.

of a node i gives us the information of how much the capacity of the node should be in order to maintain the whole network in a free-flow state. However, due to local fluctuation effect of the concentration of data packets, the traffic could be congested even for the capacity of each node being taken as its load. The distribution of the load reflects the high level of heterogeneity of the Internet: It also follows a power law,

$$P_l(\ell) \sim \ell^{-\delta},\tag{3}$$

with the load exponent $\delta \approx 2.0$ for the Internet. For comparison, the quantity "load" is different from the "betweenness centrality" [Freeman, 1977] in its definition. In load, when a unit packet encounters a branching point along the shortest pathways, it is divided evenly with the local information of branching number, while in betweenness centrality, it can be divided unevenly with the global information of the total number of shortest pathways between a given source and target [Goh *et al.*, 2005]. Despite such a difference, we find no appreciable difference in practice for the numerical values of the load and the betweenness centrality for a given network.

The load of a node is highly correlated with its degree. This suggests a scaling relation between the load and the degree of a node as $\ell \sim k^{\eta}$ and the scaling exponent η is estimated as $\eta = 1.06 \pm 0.03$ for January 2000 AS map [Vázquez *et al.*, 2002; Goh *et al.*, 2004]. In fact, if one assumes that the ranks of each node for the degree and the load are the same, then one can show that the exponent η depends on γ and δ as $\eta = (\gamma - 1)/(\delta - 1)$ with $\gamma \approx 2.1$ and $\delta \approx 2.0$, and we have $\eta \approx 1.1$, which is consistent with the direct measurement.

The time evolution of the load at each AS is also of interest. Practically, how the load scales with the total number of ASes (the size of the AS map) is important information for the network management. In Fig. 3, we show $\ell_i(t)$ versus N(t) for 5 ASes with the highest rank in degree, i.e. 5 ASes that have largest degrees at t = 0. The data of $\{\ell_i(t)\}$ shows large fluctuations in time. Interestingly, the fluctuation is moderate for the hub, implying that the connections of the hub are rather stable. The load at the hub is found to scale with N(t) as $\ell_h(t) \sim N(t)^{\mu}$, but the scaling shows a crossover from $\mu \approx 2.4$ to $\mu \approx 1.8$ around $t \approx 14$.

Internet traffic along the shortest pathways yields inconvenient queue congestions at hubs in SF networks. Many alternative routing strategies have been introduced to reduce the load at hub and improve the critical density of the number of packets displaying the transition from free-flow to congested state [Tadić & Turner, 2004; Tadić & Rodgers, 2002; Arenas *et al.*, 2001; Holme, 2003; Echenique *et al.*, 2004; Schäfer *et al.*, 2006; Duch & Arenas, 2006; Sreenivasan *et al.*, 2006].

Transport of data packets also relies on queueing process of an individual AS. Here we extend existing queueing theory [Gross & Harris, 1998] to the case where arrival channels are multiple, in particular, when their number distribution follows a power law, aiming at understanding the transport in SF networks. For simplicity, we assume that the arrival and processing rates of an individual channel are the same, and they are independent of the degree of a given AS. Time is discretized and unit time is given as the inverse of the rate.



Fig. 3. Time evolution of the load versus N(t) at the ASes of degree-rank 1 (\bigcirc), 2 (\square), 3 (\Diamond), 4 (\triangle) and 5 (\times). The dashed line for larger N has slope 1.8, drawn for the eye.

Delay of packet delivery in our queueing process originates from two sources. For the one, owing to multiple arriving channels, multiple packets can arrive at a given queueing system in a unit time interval, and are accumulated in the buffer. For example, gray circles in Fig. 4 represent such a case. This type of delay is referred to as the delay type 1 (DT1) below. For the other, the delay is caused by preceding packets in the buffer, which can happen under the first-in-first-out rule. The hatched circles in Fig. 4 demonstrate this case. This case is referred to as the delay type 2 (DT2). Then any delay can be decomposed into the two types. The black circle in Fig. 4 is such a packet, delayed by both DT1 and DT2. We calculate the average delay time for each type, separately, and combine them next.

To proceed, we first define p_n as the probability that n packets arrive at a given queueing system at the same time. For the DT1 case, if q_m denotes the probability that a packet is delayed m time steps, we find

$$q_m = p_0 \delta_{0,m} + \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{p_n}{n},$$
(4)



Fig. 4. (a) Snapshot inside buffer with arriving packets. Each row represents a communication channel, and circles therein are the sequence of incoming packets. The integers on the horizontal axis indicate arriving time-steps of each packet. Open circles stand for packets not delayed. Packets delayed are represented by three kinds of filled circles according to their own delaying mechanism. See text for details. The consequent delivery sequence is shown in (b) with processing time-step.

where $\delta_{i,j}$ is the Kronecker delta function. Then, the average of delay time steps through the DT1 process is obtained as

$$\langle m \rangle_q = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{p_n}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} m = \frac{\langle n \rangle_p - 1 + p_0}{2},$$
 (5)

where $\langle \cdots \rangle_q \ (\langle \cdots \rangle_p)$ is the average with respect to the probability $q_m \ (p_n)$.

For the DT2 case, we introduce $r_b(t)$ as the probability that a packet arrived at time t is delayed b time steps by preceding delayed packets. In the steady state, we obtain that

$$r_{b'} = \sum_{b=0}^{b'+1} p_{b'-b+1} r_b + p_0 r_0 \delta_{0,b'}.$$
 (6)

By using the generating functions $\mathcal{R}(z) \equiv \sum_{b=0}^{\infty} r_b z^b$ and $\mathcal{P}(z) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n z^n$, we obtain that

$$\mathcal{R}(z)\left[z - \mathcal{P}(z)\right] = p_0 r_0(z - 1),\tag{7}$$

with $p_0 r_0 = 1 - \langle n \rangle_p$.

The next step is to combine the two types of delays. To this end, we define w_{τ} as the probability that a unit packet is delayed by τ . Then $w_{\tau} = \sum_{m=0}^{\tau} q_m r_{\tau-m}$ since DT1 and DT2 are statistically independent. From this, the average delay time is obtained as

$$\langle \tau \rangle_w \equiv \sum_{\tau=0}^{\infty} \tau w_\tau = \frac{\langle n \rangle_p - 1 + p_0}{2} + \frac{\langle n^2 \rangle_p - \langle n \rangle_p}{2(1 - \langle n \rangle_p)}.$$
(8)

Thus, a critical congestion occurs when $\langle n \rangle_p = 1$, at which the delay time diverges. The singular behavior in the form of $(1 - \langle n \rangle_p)^{-1}$ was observed numerically in the study of directed traffic flow in Euclidean space [Mukherjee & Manna, 2005].

We now consider the case where the number of arriving data packets follows a power law, $p_n \sim n^{-\lambda}$. In fact, nonuniformity of the number of data packets arriving at a given node gives rise to self-similar patterns as is well known in computer science [Leland *et al.*, 1994]. Precise value of the exponent λ has not been reported yet. Moreover, it is not known if the exponent is universal, independent of bandwidths or degrees in the SF network. The relation of λ to the load exponent δ , if there is any, is not known either. If $\lambda < 3$, $\langle n^2 \rangle_p$ diverges. For such a power-law distribution, its generating function $\mathcal{P}(z)$ develops a singular part and takes the form, when $2 < \lambda < 3$,

$$\mathcal{P}(z) = 1 - \langle n \rangle_p (1 - z) + a(1 - z)^{\lambda - 1} + \mathcal{O}((1 - z)^2), \qquad (9)$$

where a is a constant. By using the relation between $\mathcal{P}(z)$ and $\mathcal{R}(z)$ from Eq. (7), we obtain that

$$\mathcal{R}(z) = 1 - \frac{a}{1 - \langle n \rangle_p} (1 - z)^{\lambda - 2} + \mathcal{O}(1 - z) \,. \tag{10}$$

Therefore, the probability r_b in the delay of the DT2 behaves as $r_b \sim b^{1-\lambda}$ for large b. In other words, the DT2 delay distribution decays slower than that of incoming packets, p_n , and $\langle \tau \rangle_w \sim \langle b \rangle_r$ becomes infinite even when $\langle n \rangle_p < 1$.

On the other hand, in real finite scale-free networks such as the Internet with the degree exponent γ , p_n at the hub has a natural cut-off at $n \sim k_{\text{max}} \sim N^{1/(\gamma-1)}$, in which case we have $\langle n^2 \rangle_p \sim k_{\text{max}}^{3-\lambda}$. Thus from Eq. (8) the average delay time at the hub scales as

$$\langle \tau \rangle_w \sim k_{\max}^{3-\lambda} \sim N^{(3-\lambda)/(\gamma-1)}$$
 (11)

for $2 < \lambda < 3$.

In the real-world Internet, the bandwidth of each AS is not uniform. Nodes with high bandwidth are located at the core of the network, forming a rich club [Zhou & Mondragon, 2004; Colizza *et al.*, 2006], however, their degrees are small. Whereas, nodes with large degree are located at the periphery of the network with low bandwidth [Li *et al.*, 2005]. Therefore, our analysis of the average delay time has to be generalized incorporating the inhomogeneous bandwidths and arrival rates [Lee *et al.*, unpublished].

In summary, in the first part of this Letter, we have reviewed the previous studies of topological properties of the Internet and introduced a minimal model, the adaptation model to reproduce the topological properties. Next we studied transport phenomena of data packets traveling along the shortest pathways from source to destination nodes in terms of the load. In the second part, we studied the delivery process of data packets in the queueing system, in particular, when arrival channels are diverse following the scale-freeness in the degree distribution.

Acknowledgment

This work is supported by the KOSEF grants No. R14-2002-059-01000-0 in the ABRL program.

References

- Albert, R. & Barabási, A.-L. [2002] "Statistical mechanics of complex networks," *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 74, 47–97.
- Arenas, A., Díaz-Guilera, A. & Guimera, R. [2001] "Communication in networks with hierarchical branching," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 86, 3196–3199.
- Barabási, A.-L. & Albert, R. [1999] "Emergence of scaling in random networks," *Science* 286, 509–512.
- Barabási, A.-L., Albert, R. & Jeong, H. [1999] "Meanfield theory for scale-free random network," *Physica* A 272, 173-187.
- Boccaletti, S., Latora, V., Moreno, Y., Chavez, M. & Hwang, D.-U. [2006] "Complex networks: Structure and dynamics," *Phys. Rep.* 424, 175–303.
- Colizza, V., Flammini, A., Serrano, M. A. & Vespignani, A. [2006] "Detecting rich-club ordering in complex networks," *Nat. Phys.* 2, 112-115.
- Dorogovtsev, S. N. & Mendes, J. F. F. [2003] *Evolution* of *Networks* (Oxford University Press, Oxford).
- Duch, J. & Arenas, A. [2006] "On the universality of the scaling of fluctuations in traffic on complex networks," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 96, 218702.
- Echenique, P., Gómez-Gardeñes, J. & Moreno, Y. [2004] "Improved routing strategies for Internet traffic delivery," *Phys. Rev. E* 70, 056105.
- Eriksen, K. A., Simonsen, I., Maslov, S. & Sneppen, K. [2003] "Modularity and extreme edges of the Internet," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 148701.
- Faloutsos, M., Faloutsos, P. & Faloutsos, C. [1999] "On power law relationships of the Internet topology," *Comput. Commun. Rev.* 29, 251–262.
- Freeman, L. C. [1977] "A set of measures of centrality based on betweenness," Sociometry 40, 35–41.
- Goh, K.-I., Kahng, B. & Kim, D. [2001] "Universal behavior of load distribution in scale-free networks," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 87, 278701.
- Goh, K.-I., Kahng, B. & Kim, D. [2002] "Fluctuationdrive dynamics of Internet topology," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 88, 108701.
- Goh, K.-I., Oh, E., Ghim, C.-M., Kahng, B. & Kim, D. [2004] "Classes of shortest pathways structures in scale-free networks," in *Lecture Notes in Physics: Proc. 23rd CNLS Conf.*, "Complex Networks," Santa Fe 2003, eds. Ben-Naim, E., Frauenfelder, H. & Toroczkai, Z. (Springer, Berlin).
- Goh, K.-I., Kahng, B. & Kim, D. [2005] "Evolution of the Internet topology and traffic dynamics of data packets," in *Complex Dynamics in Communication Networks*, eds. Kocarev, L. & Vattay, G. (Springer, Berlin).
- Gross, D. & Harris, C. M. [1998] Fundamentals of Queueing Theory, 3rd edition (Wiley, NY).
- Holme, P. [2003] "Congestion and centrality in traffic flow on complex networks," Adv. Compl. Syst. 6, 163– 176.

- Huberman, B. A. & Adamic, L. A. [1999] "Evolutionary dynamics of the world wide web," e-print condmat/9901071.
- Lee, H. K., Kahng, B. & Kim, D. [unpublished].
- Leland, W. E., Taqqu, M. S., Willinger, W. & Wilson, D. V. [1994] "On the self-similar nature of Ethernet traffic," *IEEE/ACM Trans. Networking* 2, 1–15.
- Li, L., Alderson, D., Tanaka, R., Doyle, J. C. & Willinger, W. [2005] "Towards a theory of scale-free graphs: Definition, properties, and implications," eprint cond-mat/0501169.
- Meyer, D., University of Oregon Route Views Archive Project, http://archive.routeviews.org.
- Mukherjee, G. & Manna, S. S. [2005] "Phase transition in a directed traffic flow network," *Phys. Rev. E* **71**, 066108.
- NLANR project sponsored by the National Science Foundation, http://moat.nlanr.net.
- Newman, M. E. J. [2003] "Structure and function of complex networks," SIAM Rev. 45, 167–256.
- Pastor-Satorras, R., Vázquez, A. & Vespignani, A. [2001] "Dynamical and correlation properties of the Internet," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 87, 258701.
- Pastor-Satorras, R. & Vespignani, A. [2004] Evolution and Structure of the Internet (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge).
- Ravasz, E., Somera, A. L., Mongru, D. A., Oltvai, Z. N. & Barabási, A.-L. [2002] "Hierarchical organization of modularity in metabolic networks," *Science* 297, 1551–1555.
- Ravasz, E. & Barabási, A.-L. [2003] "Hierarchical organization of complex networks," *Phys. Rev. E* 67, 026112.
- Schäfer, M., Scholz, J. & Greiner, M. [2006] "Proactive robustness control of heterogeneously loaded networks," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 96, 108701.
- Serrano, M. A., Boguñá, M. & Díaz-Guilera, A. [2005] "Competition and adaptation in an Internet evolution model," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 038701.
- Sreenivasan, S., Cohen, R., López, E., Toroczkai, Z. & Stanley, H. E. [2006] "Communication bottlenecks in scale-free networks," e-print cs.NI/0604023.
- Tadić, B. & Rodgers, G. J. [2002] "Packet transport on scale free networks," Adv. Compl. Syst. 5, 445–456.
- Tadić, B. & Thurner, S. [2004] "Information superdiffusion in structured networks," *Physica A* 332, 566–584.
- Vázquez, A., Pastor-Satorras, R. & Vespignani, A. [2002] "Large-scale topological and dynamical properties of Internet," *Phys. Rev. E* 65, 066130.
- Zhou, S. & Mondragon, R. J. [2004] "The rich-club phenomenon in the Internet topology," *IEEE Commun. Lett.* 8, 180–182.